

Social Structure and Forms of Consciousness – Scientific Structure – Levels of Abstraction



By Shomit Sirohi

I. Labour and Totality, and Complex Totalisation

In fact then it is true, need, negation of negation and labour, which basically argues that there is fundamental need, which is the needs of the poorest, which then is negated into class and class processes to labour and labour creates the wealth of society but perhaps that is all the concept of structure, and structures, which are again proving only – capital and labour with state institutions – which though is abstracted from in fetishised manners towards the actual complex social relational format or even the complete process of histories of capital accumulation, and structures of capital with in fact labouring complexity, and flexible forms of labour and class in Dialectical Totalisation.

II. Levels of Abstraction from Labour's Standpoint therefore

First there is agrarian field or industrial labour which is then also flexible forms of labour in Dialectical Totalisation - which becomes the concrete level of labour in a agricultural field in Uttar Pradesh say, which has a peasant jacquerie formalism in anthropology, but can be in fact in several forms of labour reified forms, which then is still reification for the purpose of developing the process of in fact its complex social relations which is then the next moment of developing agrarian labour, developing a structure of land-ownership, even patterns of historical formalism of company commerce and legal formalisms and politics and even religion perhaps which then is shifted to cities and their company processes which then has a working class industrial complexity which shifts to city formalisms of buses and transport sectors and then even forms of urbanism and architectural histories which then house retail capital which then develops the vertically integrated contingent form of development of Renaultism or Fordism which is the simple idea of a capitalist who runs the process by direction and execution which then forms forms of investment cycles and financial transactions and all of this develops the process, of totalisation which is cross-sectional.

This is still reified and reifying the forms of in fact processes depicted against the formalism of structures which are synchronic only - there is also lived phenomenology which is a process - a diachronic process of finally the essential contemporaneity of the whole which is non-contemporaneous as well - phenomenological in fact then complex object which is Eternity in Spinoza's sense.

III. Scientific Level of Abstraction therefore

In fact then this sociological or historical or even concrete Marxist economics is then replete with what is called scientific structures - from labour as a fact of tools and implements which then is the simple example of Sicily and its historical expansion into forms of buildings, forms of historical capital offices, and inventions, and all of this is called a literary example instead of scientific level of abstraction -

K = Impressions

The K fact is inventions – and life is impressions of science.

Imagine a car, and how it really works and is engineered, and labour's real production process which then gets going on the road, and develop capital as stylish on the designing aspect – this is then a impression of science and its way of capturing the whole process on a co-ordinate geometry graph instead – that the totality, or totalisation then is replete with science.

What a Co-ordinate geometry graph means to cover the x-axis and y-axis and plot roads and cities and countrysides and totalisation then of active processes in a totality then comes from Rene Descartes himself – that what if time and space can be captured in science – all this history then comes into it, and that I mean is intelligent – that it can't all be unscientific – science is proved this way – that a man is walking in point but topoi-arrows take him somewhere else and he sits and undersands cultures.